

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JAVIER LOPEZ,

Plaintiff,

vs.

STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE  
 INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:12-cv-02137-GMN-NJK

ORDER DENYING PROPOSED  
 DISCOVERY PLAN (Docket No. 12)

On February 4, 2013, the Court denied a proposed discovery plan, noting that the parties failed to comply with at least four aspects of the relevant Local Rules. Docket No. 11. The first deficiency noted by the Court was that the proposed discovery plan failed to “state the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared.” *Id.* at 1 (quoting Local Rule 26-1(e)(1)). The Court ultimately denied the proposed discovery plan with instructions that it be refiled. *Id.* at 2.

The parties have now filed another proposed discovery plan that again fails to identify the date the first defendant answered or otherwise appeared. *See* Docket No. 12. The rules and procedures of this Court are designed to foster the efficient resolution of cases. The parties are expected to be familiar with and to follow these rules and procedures. The Court is especially disappointed with this shortcoming given that it was expressly identified as a deficiency in the Court’s February 4, 2013 Order.

//

//

//

//

//

1 The pending stipulated discovery plan is hereby **DENIED**. The parties are ordered, no later  
2 than February 11, 2013, to file another proposed discovery plan that complies with the Local Rules.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2013.

5  
6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 NANCY J. KOPPE  
9 United States Magistrate Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28